

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/075,959	02/14/2002		Ralph R. McDonald	3842-A1	9824		
3	7590 03/17/2004			EXAM	INER		
Robert A. Par PARSONS &		v	GIBSON, RANDY W				
Suite 260	COLIN			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
340 East Palm			2841				
Phoenix, AZ	85004			DATE MAIL ED: 02/17/200/	DATE MAILED: 02/17/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

				•	,				
		Application	n No.	Applicant(s)	Ø.				
•		10/075,95	9	MCDONALD, RAL	.PH R.				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit		_			
		Randy W.	Gibson	2841					
- Period fo	- The MAILING DATE of this communication	n appears on the	cover sheet with the	correspondence ad	dress				
A SHO THE N - Extens after S - If the - Failur Any re	DRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR R MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATI sions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 C Siz (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicati period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory to to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by the ply received by the Office later than three months after the dipatent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ON. FR 1.136(a). In no eve on. , a reply within the statu period will apply and wi statute, cause the appl	ent, however, may a reply be atory minimum of thirty (30) d Il expire SIX (6) MONTHS fro ication to become ABANDON	timely filed lays will be considered timely om the mailing date of this co NED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
1)[\]	Responsive to communication(s) filed on	February 17 20	04						
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	_	This action is n							
3)									
Disposition	on of Claims								
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are pending in the applicate) Of the above claim(s) is/are with Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and contents.	thdrawn from co							
Application	on Papers								
10) 🗌 🗆	The specification is objected to by the Exa The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) Applicant may not request that any objection t Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the c The oath or declaration is objected to by t	accepted or b) to the drawing(s) become ction is require	e held in abeyance. Sed if the drawing(s) is a	See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Objected to. See 37 CF					
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119								
12)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docu 2. Certified copies of the priority docu 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International Bee the attached detailed Office action for	ments have bee ments have bee e priority docume Jureau (PCT Rul	n received. n received in Applica ents have been recei e 17.2(a)).	ation No ived in this National	Stage				
Attachment	(s)								
1) Notice	e of References Cited (PTO-892)		4) Interview Summa						
3) Inform	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-94 nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/5 No(s)/Mail Date		Paper No(s)/Mail 5) Notice of Informa 6) Other:	Date Il Patent Application (PTC	D-152)				

Application/Control Number: 10/075,959 Page 2

Art Unit: 2841

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

1. In view of the applicant's remarks, it appears that the examiner's previous interpretation of claim 20 was incorrect. Therefore the examiner's previously indicated allowability of claim 20 was incorrect since claim 20 does not expressly contain the limitation that the examiner thought it did upon its first reading. The delay in making this rejection is regretted

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-19 have been considered but are moot in view of the new grounds of rejection, *infra*.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

- 2. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.
- 3. Claims 8-13 and 15-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Jones (US # 2,974,518). Jones discloses a conveyor (2) with a weighing system that is "capable of" continuously sensing a load on the conveyor (Col. 3, line 64 to col. 4, lines 20), and a calibration system (16) that is "capable" of selectively applying a reference load (32,38) without interrupting the ability of the weighing system to sense a load on the conveyor (Col. 4, line 38 to col. 5, line 12).

Application/Control Number: 10/075,959

Art Unit: 2841

4. Claims 1-3, 7-8, 14, 15,19, and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Wilson et al (US # 3,976,150). Wilson et al disclose a conveyor (12) with a weighing system (20) that is "capable of" continuously sensing a load on the conveyor (Col. 2, line 50 to col. 3, line 5), a calibration system (15) that is "capable" of selectively applying a reference load (14) without interrupting the ability of the weighing system to sense a load on the conveyor (Col. 3, lines 5-24), and a "comparator"/"controller" (60) for comparing the sensed load to a reference load (Col. 5, lines 5-65). Obviously the "storage" for storing load data is inherently part of the computer (60) since every computer has to have a working memory in order to be operative. See *In re Napier*, 55 F.3d 610, 613, 34 USPQ2d 1782, 1784 (Fed. Cir. 1995); and, *MPEP* § 2112.

Page 3

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.
- 6. Claims 1-7, 14, and 19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Jones (US # 2,974,518) in view of Wilson et al (US # 3,976,150).

 Jones discloses the claimed invention, as discussed *supra*, except for the "comparator"/"controller" and the data "storage". The system of Jones relies upon a mechanical indicator which is manually adjusted. However, Wilson et al shows that it is known to use digital control system calibrate and correct weight readings as discussed *supra*. It would have been obvious to the ordinary practioner to upgrade the system of

Application/Control Number: 10/075,959 Page 4

Art Unit: 2841

Jones to include a digital controller, as suggested by the example of Wilson et al, to improve accuracy and reliability of the device.

Conclusion

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Randy W. Gibson whose telephone number is (571) 271-2103. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri., 9-5.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David S Martin can be reached on (571) 272-2107. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Rand W. Gibson Primary Examiner Art Unit 2841